arXiv:1408.3257v1 [math.GT] 14 Aug 2014

Extended Hodge Theory for Fibred Cusp Manifolds

E. Hunsicker
Loughborough University

August 15, 2014

Abstract

For a particular class of pseudo manifolds, we show that the intersection cohomology groups for any perversity may be naturally represented by extended weighted L^2 harmonic forms for a complete metric on the regular stratum with respect to some weight determined by the perversity. Extended weighted L^2 harmonic forms are harmonic forms that are almost in the given weighted L^2 space for the metric in question, but not quite. This result is akin to the representation of absolute and relative cohomology groups for a manifold with boundary by extended harmonic forms on the associated manifold with cylindrical ends. As in that setting, in the unweighted L^2 case, the boundary values of the extended harmonic forms define a Lagrangian splitting of the boundary space in the long exact sequence relating upper and lower middle perversity intersection cohomology groups.

1 Introduction

The Hodge theorem for compact smooth manifolds was a major breakthrough connecting geometry, topology and analysis on smooth compact manifolds. It says that the space of harmonic j forms over a compact Riemannian manifold, (M, g), (an analytic quantity) is naturally isomorphic to the deRham cohomology of M (a topological quantity). Further, it can be refined to say that when g is a Kähler metric, the space of harmonic forms breaks down by holomorphic/antiholomorphic bidegree to form a Hodge diamond of spaces. This decomposition, together with maps among the pieces given by the Hodge star operator and complex conjugation, is called a Hodge structure. The isomorphism with deRham cohomology also then endows these topologically defined spaces with a Hodge structure.

In addition to the Hodge theorem for compact smooth M, there is also a natural bilinear pairing on smooth forms over M which descends to a nondegenerate pairing on the deRham cohomology, $H^*(M)$, called the signature pairing. The signature of this pairing is called simply the signature of M. This can also be realised as a pairing on the space of harmonic forms, where it is also nondegenerate, and gives the same signature through the Hodge isomorphism.

Over the past several years, many mathematicians have worked to generalise these results to settings in which the compact manifold, M, is replaced either with a noncompact manifold or with a singular space. This work has gone on from both the topological and analytic sides. On the topological side, the deRham cohomology (and dual cellular and other homologies) needed to be replaced by more general cohomologies and homologies. On the analytic side, tools needed to be developed for studying elliptic operators over noncompact or incomplete manifolds endowed with various classes of metrics. The first work in this direction is due to Connor in 1956, who studied manifolds with boundary and the relationship between absolute and relative cohomology and harmonic forms with either Neumann or Dirichlet boundary conditions, [12]. In 1975, [2], Atiyah, Patodi and Singer studied signatures on manifolds with boundary, and also Hodge results for a noncompact manifold with cylindrical ends. These results again related to relative and absolute cohomology.

In the 1980's, the development of intersection homology and cohomology on pseudomanifolds critically involved the collaboration of an analyst, Cheeger, and two topologists, Goresky and MacPherson. Together, they defined this new (co)homology theory on singular spaces that recaptures Poincaré duality and various other properties of homology and cohomology on smooth compact manifolds, and proved its isomorphism to the L^2 cohomology of manifolds with conical and iterated conical metrics, under a topological assumption about the links of singular strata in the pseudomanifold. Following on from this, in 1990, Saper and Stern proved Zucker's conjecture, that the L^2 cohomology of a hermitian locally symmetric space under its natural Bergman metric is isomorphic to the middle perversity intersection cohomology of its reductive Borel compactification.

Since then, many authors have worked on Hodge theorems relating harmonic forms or L^2 cohomology on noncompact manifolds with various natural classes of metrics to intersection cohomology, and in the setting of incomplete manifolds, understanding the relationship between intersection cohomology and boundary conditions for the Laplace operator on differential forms. Just a few such papers are [25], [23], [22], [1], [8], [9], [10]. The most relevant to this paper are [17], in which the author of the present paper studied L^2 harmonic forms on fibred cusp manifolds and their relationship to middle perversity intersection cohomology groups, and [27], in which harmonic forms on fibred cusp manifolds satisfying the same geometric restriction as in this paper are found which represent relative and absolute cohomology groups. At the same time, many authors have been involved with the development of new analytic tools for the study of singular and noncompact spaces. A very incomplete list of relevent works on pseudodifferential techniques are [26], [24], [29], [14], [15], [16], and [21].

In this paper we study the Hodge structure and signature pairing for pseudomanifolds X with one smooth singular stratum B. Let $Y \xrightarrow{\phi} B$ denote the link bundle of B and F be the link. Let $M = X - B$. It is also useful to define the blowup of X along B, which is a manifold with boundary $\overline{M} := M \cup [0,1) \times Y$, where we fix an identification $U \cong (0,1) \times Y$, of the regular stratum of a normal neighborhood of B in X. Let x denote the variable on $(0,1)$. We can think of U as "the end" of M, and we obtain $\overline{M}$ by adding an additional copy of Y at $x = 0$.

On a pseudomanifold, X, the appropriate cohomology theory to study for Hodge results and signatures is the intersection cohomology. Intersection cohomology is a family of cohomologies on X parametrised by a function called the perversity. In the original definition of intersection (co)homology, a perversity is a function $\mathfrak{p} : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ satisfying certain restrictions. Near a point on a codimension l stratum of a pseudomanifold, X, $\mathfrak{p}(l)$ determines the form of the Poincaré lemma. Thus when there is a single, connected, smooth singular stratum $B \subset X$ of codimension $f+1$, the only part of the perversity which affects $IH^*_{\mathfrak{p}}(X)$, is the value $\mathfrak{p}(f+1)$. We can first simplify notation in this setting by labelling the intersection cohomology spaces by $p := \mathfrak{p}(f+1)$ rather than by $\mathfrak{p}$. Further, we will fix notation such that the Poincaré lemma for a cone has the form:

$$IH^j_p(C(F)) = \begin{cases} H^j(F) & j < p \\ 0 & j \geq p. \end{cases}$$

In terms of this convention, standard perversities (those satisfying the original restrictions) satisfy $0 < p \leq f$. We use an extension of these definitions in which $p \in \mathbb{R}$. This does not give anything dramatically new; when $p \leq 0$, we get $H^*(X, B)$, whereas when $p > f$ we get $H^*(X - B)$. For $p \notin \mathbb{Z}$, we simply get the same thing as for the perversity given by the floor function $\lfloor p \rfloor$. Thus for any $p \in \mathbb{R}$ we fix the notation

$$IH^*_p(X, \Sigma) = \begin{cases} H^*(X - \Sigma) & p > f, \\ IH^*_p(X) & 0 < p \leq f, \\ H^*(X, \Sigma) & p \leq 0, \end{cases} \quad . \tag{1}$$

This notation will simplify the statements and proofs of results, and in addition, allows us to consider spaces with a codimension one stratum, i.e. a boundary together with pseudomanifolds.

In the case of a manifold with boundary, the link of a point on the boundary is simply a point, so the local calculations corresponding to $p > 0$ and $p \leq 0$ give absolute and relative cohomologies, respectively.

The metrics we will consider on M are various classes of fibred cusp metrics. The most general definition of a fibred cusp metric is that it is a section of the symmetric product of the ϕ cotangent bundle with itself, see [14], for example. In our calculations, by analytic results from [16], we will be able to restrict to product type fibred cusp metrics, which are smooth metrics on M which on the end have the form:

$$g_{fc} = \frac{dx^2}{x^2} + \phi^* ds_B^2 + x^2 h,$$

where h is a symmetric bilinear form on Y which restricts to a metric on each fibre and vanishes on some choice of horizontal tangent bundle, and where $\phi^* ds_B^2$ represents the horizontal lift to Y of a metric on the base, B. Geometrically, such metrics mean that the manifold looks at the end like a cusp bundle over a compact base. In particular, these metrics are geodesically complete.

Note that the term "product" in the phrase product type fibred cusp metric does not refer to the metric on Y, but rather to the invariance in x of the metric on Y, except for the given factor on the fibers. In general, we cannot assume that the metric on Y is even locally a product. This is possible when Y is a flat bundle with respect to the structure group $\mathrm{Isom}(F, g_F)$ for some fixed metric g_F on F. When this is possible, and when we take the metric on Y to be a local product, we say that the metric g_{fc} is *geometrically flat* fibred cusp metric. The results below about extended harmonic forms require that the metric is an "admissible perturbation" of such a metric. By analysis in [16], an admissible perturbation is a metric which differs from a reference metric by a linear combination of the forms $\frac{dx}{x}$, d_{y_i}, and $x d_{z_j}$ for base coordinates y_i and fiber coordinates z_j, where the coefficients vanish like x^2 as $x \to 0$. The background analytic results from the next section are identical for the reference metric and the admissible perturbation, thus in the proofs, we can simply consider product type and geometrically flat fibred cusp metrics.

The first result in this paper relates weighted L^2 harmonic forms fibred cusp metrics on M to intersection cohomology of X of various perversities. This theorem is a slight generalisation of the results in [17], and the technique of proof is similar. First we define the notation used in the theorem. The forms we will consider lie in weighted L^2 spaces for the metric g_{fc}:

$$x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) := \{x^c \omega \mid \omega \in L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})\}.$$

This space is equipped with a metric. For $\tau, \eta \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, we define

$$< \tau, \eta >_c := \int_M x^{-c}\tau \wedge * x^{-c}\eta := \int_M \tau \wedge *_c \eta,$$

where $*$ is the standard Hodge star for forms over M with respect to the volume form on M coming from g_{fc}. We define $\delta_{fc,c}$ to be the formal adjoint of d with respect to this weighted pairing. The spaces of harmonic forms we consider are the weighted L^2 harmonic forms,

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) := \{\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \mid (d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega = 0\},$$

and the extended weighted harmonic forms:

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) := \{\omega \in \cap_{\epsilon>0} x^{c-\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \mid (d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega = 0\}.$$

We can now state our theorems.

Theorem 1.1. *Let X be a pseudomanifold with a smooth singular stratum, B, and and assume the fibre F of the link bundle over B has dimension f. Endow $M = X - B$ with any fibred cusp metric g_{fc}. Then the weighted L^2 harmonic forms on M can be interpreted as:*

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong \mathrm{Im}\left(IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, \Sigma) \to IH^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(X, \Sigma)\right).$$

Further, there exists a nondegenerate bilinear pairing

$$\cap_{L^2} : \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \otimes \mathcal{H}^{n-*}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, -c) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

When $c = 0$, the signature of this pairing is the middle perversity perverse signature on X.

Perverse signatures are the natural signatures defined by the intersection pairing in intersection cohomology, restricted to spaces of the form

$$\mathrm{Im}(IH^*_{f/2-c}(X, B) \to IH^*_{f/2+c}(X, B)),$$

and have been studied in [20] and [13].

The next theorem relates "extended" weighted L^2 harmonic forms for the same metrics to groups coming from intersection cohomology of X. This theorem is a generalisation of Proposition 6.16 from [26], which considers the case when $c = 0$ and $f = 0$, that is, the fibre F is trivial, and refines the theorem from [2] that says relative and absolute cohomology of a manifold with boundary may be represented by subspaces of extended harmonic forms on the associated manifold with infinite cylindrical end.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be an n dimensional pseudomanifold with a smooth singular stratum, B, and and assume the fibre F of the link bundle over B has dimension f. Endow $M = X - B$ with a metric, g_{fc}, that is an admissible perturbation of a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric. Then the space of extended weighted L^2 harmonic forms on M for a product-type fibred cusp metric decomposes into three pieces*

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) = dS_c + \delta_{fc,c}T_c + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c),$$

where the sums here are vector space direct sums. We can naturally interpreted this space in terms of intersection cohomology of X through the following isomorphisms:

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) := dS_c + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, \Sigma)$$

and

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) := \delta_{fc,c}T_c + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, \Sigma).$$

We can refine this to the following theorem, which generalises Proposition 6.18 in [26], and shows how the spaces of extended harmonic forms on M and the intersection cohomology on X fit together:

Theorem 1.3. *Assume p is an integer. If the link bundle $Y \to B$ of B in X is geometrically flat, then there exists a long exact sequence on cohomology:*

$$\cdots \to H^{j-p-1}(B, H^p(F)) \xrightarrow{\partial} IH^j_{p-1}(X) \xrightarrow{inc^*} IH^j_p(X) \xrightarrow{r} H^{j-p}(B, H^p(F)) \to \cdots.$$

If g_{fc} is an admissible perturbation of a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M, then there is in addition a commutative diagram for each j and p:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
\mathcal{H}^{j-p-1}(B, \mathcal{H}^p(F)) & \xrightarrow{\tilde{\partial}} & \mathcal{IH}^j_{p-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) & \xrightarrow{inc^*} & \mathcal{IH}^j_{p+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) & \xrightarrow{r} & \mathcal{H}^{j-p}(B, \mathcal{H}^p(F)) \\
\downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong & & \downarrow \cong \\
H^{j-p-1}(B, H^p(F)) & \xrightarrow{\partial} & IH^j_{p-1}(X) & \xrightarrow{inc^*} & IH^j_p(X) & \xrightarrow{r} & H^{j-p}(B, H^p(F)).
\end{array}$$

If p is not an integer, then the diagram collapses, with the spaces on the far right and left equaling $\{0\}$ and the spaces in the middle all being isomorphic, and also isomorphic to $\mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc})$.

The extended spaces of harmonic forms satisfy some interesting additional properties, which are summarised in the next theorem.

Theorem 1.4. *If g_{fc} is an admissible perturbation of a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M, then the following form of Poincaré duality holds on extended harmonic forms: the operator $*_c = x^{-2c}*$, where $*$ is the Hodge star operator on $L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, gives an isomorphism*

$$*_c : \mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) \to \mathcal{IH}^{n-j}_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}). \tag{2}$$

Further, there are natural boundary data maps,

$$\mathrm{bd_T} : \delta_{\mathrm{fc,c}}\mathrm{T_c} \to \mathcal{H}^*(\mathrm{B}, \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{f}/2-\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{F})), \qquad \mathrm{bd_S} : \mathrm{dS_c} \to \mathcal{H}^*(\mathrm{B}, \mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{f}/2-\mathrm{c}}(\mathrm{F})),$$

whose images are complementary orthogonal subspaces of $H^*(B, H^{f/2-c}(F))$. When $c = 0$, they form complementary Lagrangian subspaces of $H^*(B, H^{f/2}(F))$ under the intersection pairing on Y restricted to this space.

The results in this paper are interesting in their own right, but are also interesting in their relationship to new cohomologies on pseudomanifolds. The first is the refinement of intersection cohomology in the case of spaces that are not Witt, but possess a particular Lagrangian structure on the bundle of middle degree link cohomology groups over the singular strata. Such spaces, sometimes referred to as Cheeger spaces, and the resulting "mezzo perversity" intersection cohomology groups that may be defined on them have been studied by Cheeger in [11], Banagl in [3] and by Albin et al in [1]. It would be interesting to understand when the Lagrangian splitting of $H^*(B, H^{f/2}(F))$ given by Theorem 1.4 comes from a Lagrangian structure on the $H^{f/2}(F)$ bundle over B, to obtain a better understanding of the relationship between extended harmonic forms for fibred cusp metrics and the associated mezzo perversity cohomology group $IH^*_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$.

Second, extended weighted L^2 harmonic forms for fibred cusp metrics are equivalent to extended weighted L^2 harmonic forms for the conformally equivalent fibred boundary metrics, but with a shift in weight. In [6], the author and Banagl use this relationship to prove a Hodge theorem for HI cohomology, defined by Banagl in [5].

2 Background

As with absolute or relative cohomology, there are many possible complexes of forms that can be used to calculate $IH^j_p(X, B)$. One useful complex comes from the weighted L^2 forms over M with respect to a fibred cusp metric. This lemma is proved in [17]:

Lemma 2.1. *Let $WH^*_{fc}(M, c)$ be the cohomology of the chain complex*

$$WC^*_{fc}(M, c) := \{\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \mid d\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})\}.$$

Then if $H^{\frac{f}{2}-c}(F) = 0$,

$$WH^*_{fc}(M, c) \cong IH^*_{\frac{f}{2}-c}(X, B).$$

Note that when $H^{\frac{f}{2}-c}(F) \neq 0$, we get

$$WH^*_{fc}(M, c-\epsilon) \cong IH^*_{\frac{f}{2}-c}(X, B)$$

and

$$WH^*_{fc}(M, c+\epsilon) \cong IH^*_{\frac{f}{2}-c-1}(X, B).$$

Further, there is a natural inclusion of complexes $WC^*_{fc}(M, c+\epsilon) \subset WC^*_{fc}(M, c-\epsilon)$ that induces a natural map on cohomology $IH^*_{p-1}(X, B) \to IH^*_p(X, B)$ for any p.

We can choose to replace the smooth $x^c L^2$ forms here with conormal forms in $x^c L^2$. Specifically, a form $\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ is conormal if $P\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ for any differential operator

of the form $P = (x\partial_x)^a P_Y$, where P_Y is any differential operator on Y. The complex of conormal $x^c L^2$ forms over M is:

$$x^c L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc}) := \{\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \mid$$
$$d_M\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \text{ and } P\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})\, \forall P \text{ as above}\}.$$

The proof that this complex calculates intersection cohomology is exactly the same as the proof for the smooth complex of $x^c L^2$ forms (see eg [17]), through checking that the Poincaré lemma for intersection cohomology is satisfied. This is the complex we will use for the proof of Theorem 1.1.

The proofs in this paper are based on analytic results from [15] and [16]. These papers formalise, generalise and extend the ideas in [29] that are referred to and used in [17] to prove related Hodge theorems. The first analytic result we need is a Fredholm theorem.

Theorem 2.2 ([15])**.** *For all but a discrete set of $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the map*

$$d + \delta_{fc,c} : x^{a+1} H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \to x^a L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$$

is Fredholm. In particular, for some sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the operators are Fredholm for $a = c \pm \epsilon$.

Proof. By direct calculation, we get that, up to isomorphism of the fc and fb form bundles, $d + \delta_{fc,c} = x^{-1}(d + \delta_{fb} + xZ)$, where Z is a bounded zeroth order operator. This means that $d + \delta_{fb} + xZ$ is an admissible perturbation of the split elliptic operator $d + \delta_{fb}$, and thus is Fredholm as a map from $x^a H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ to $x^a L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ for all but a discrete set of a. The result follows directly. □

We also note that for any metric g on M,

$$xH^1_\phi\Omega^*(M, g) \subset xH^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g) \subset H^1_b\Omega^*(M, g) \subset L^2\Omega^*(M, g).$$

This is Equation (6.1) in [15]. The second result is a regularity theorem. To state this one, it is convenient to assume the boundary defining function x is such that we may identify $M_{x<1/2} := \{p \in M : x(p) < 1/2\} \cong Y \times (0, 1/2)$. We will refer to this set as the *end* of M.

Theorem 2.3. *Assume $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and let $\eta \in x^{c-\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Then $(d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta \in x^{c+\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ implies $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta'$, where $\eta' \in x^{c+\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and on the end,*

$$\eta_0 = x^{c-f/2}\left(x^{(f/2)-c} u_{(f/2)-c} + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge x^{(f/2)-c} v_{(f/2)-c}\right),$$

where $u_{(f/2)-c}$ and $v_{(f/2)-c}$ are harmonic forms on B with values in $\mathcal{K}_{(f/2)-c}$. Further, if $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, then in fact,

$$\omega \in x^{c+1+\epsilon} H^\infty_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \subset x^{c+\epsilon} H^\infty_\phi\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}).$$

Proof. This theorem follows from the regularity result for split operators from [16], and from the indicial root calculation for the b-operator obtained in the splitting. Because the indicial roots here are all simple, the indicial root calculation comes down to determining the kernel of $d + \delta_{fc,c}$ (where this is the operator for the product type fibred cusp metric that g_{fc} tends to as $x \to 0$) among forms on the cylinder $Y \times [0, 1)$ of the form

$$\eta_0 = x^{c-f/2} \sum_{i=0}^{f} \left(x^i u_i + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge x^i v_i\right),$$

where u_i, v_i are fixed forms in $\Omega^*(B, \mathcal{H}^i(F))$. Applying the operator and combining terms with the same power in x, we find that the only solutions are the ones where $i = (f/2) - c$ and where $u_{(f/2)-c}, v_{(f/2)-c}$ are harmonic. For more details in the case $c = 0$, see [17]. □

If we restrict our consideration to the case when we can use a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M (recall there is a topological obstruction to the existence of such a metric), any harmonic form on B with values in $\mathcal{K}$ is in fact harmonic on Y [4]. We need this restriction in order to get Fredholm and regularity results for $\Delta_{fc,c} = (d+\delta_{fc,c})^2$ rather than only for $d+\delta_{fc,c}$. These theorems and their proofs are similar to the ones above, so we supress the proofs. We note, however, that in this setting they hold for any degree of split Sobolev regularity.

Theorem 2.4. *Assume that the metric on Y is geometrically flat. For all but a discrete set of $a \in \mathbb{R}$, the map*

$$\Delta_{fc,c} : x^{a+2} H^{k+2}_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \to x^a H^k_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$$

is Fredholm. In particular, for some sufficiently small $\epsilon > 0$, the operators are Fredholm for $a = c \pm \epsilon$.

Theorem 2.5. *Assume $\epsilon > 0$ is sufficiently small, and let $\eta \in x^{c+k-\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Then $\Delta_{fc,c}\eta \in x^{c+k+\epsilon} H^k_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, implies $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta'$, where $\eta' \in x^{c+k+2+\epsilon} H^{k+2}_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and on the end,*

$$\eta_0 = \left(u_{11} \log x + u_{21} + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge (u_{12} \log x + u_{22}) \right),$$

where u_{ij} are harmonic forms on Y fibre degree $(f/2) - c$. Further, if $\Delta_{fc,c}\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, then in fact, $\omega \in x^{c+2+\epsilon} H^2_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \subset x^{c+\epsilon} L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$.

Further, the parametrices for $d + \delta_{fc,c}$ and $\Delta_{fc,c}$ can be taken to be the first diagonal parametrix constructed in [15]. Near the boundary, this is the lift of the b-parametrix near the boundary for the Gauss Bonnet operator (resp., Laplacian) on $B \times (0, 1)$ with values in $\mathcal{K}$ (acting on the fiber harmonic part of forms) with the inverse of $d + \delta_{fc,c}$ (resp., $\Delta_{fc,c}$) acting on the orthogonal complement of the fibre harmonic forms.

We also need a lemma about essential adjointness of operators on weighted L^2 spaces:

Lemma 2.6. *Let $\delta_{fc,c}$ denote the formal adjoint of d with respect to the natural metric on $x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Then d, $\delta_{fc,c}$, $(d+\delta)_{fc,c}$ and $\Delta_{fc,c} := (d+\delta)^2_{fc,c}$ all have unique extensions to this space. In particular, $(d+\delta)_{fc,c}$ and $\Delta_{fc,c} := (d+\delta)^2_{fc,c}$ extend to self-adjoint operators with respect to the pairing $<,>_{fc,c}$.*

Proof. The essential self-adjointness of $\Delta_{fc,c}$ follows from Lemma 3.9 in [7] by considering the bundle E to be the standard form bundle $\Omega^*(M)$ tensored with a trivial line bundle whose parallel sections under the standard flat connection have norm x^{-c}. The other results then follow from this one by Lemma 3.8 in the same paper. □

We will use the same notation for the unique extensions of these operators as for the original unbounded operators. Note that this lemma implies that if $d\eta \in x^c L^2$ and $\delta\tau \in x^c L^2$, then by definition, η and τ are in the domains of d and $\delta_{fc,c}$, respectively, and thus $\langle d\eta, \tau\rangle_{fc,c} = \langle \eta, \delta_{fc,c}\tau\rangle_{fc,c}$. That is, there will be no boundary term entering in the integration by parts. Similarly, if $(d+\delta)_{fc,c}\eta$ and $(d+\delta)_{fc,c}\tau$ are both in $x^c L^2$, then there is no boundary term when we integrate by parts to shift the operator from one term to the other. We can extend this a bit further by conjugating any of these operators by x^a. Let T be one of these operators and assume $T\eta \in x^{c+a} L^2$ and $T^*\tau \in x^{c-a} L^2$. Then $x^{-a}\eta$ is in the domain of $x^{-a} T x^a$ extended to $x^c L^2$ and $x^a\tau$ is in the domain of its adjoint, $x^a T^* x^{-a}$. Thus

$$\langle T\eta, \tau\rangle_{fc,c} = \langle x^a x^{-a} T x^a x^{-a}\eta, \tau\rangle_{fc,c} \tag{3}$$

$$= \langle x^{-a} T x^a x^{-a}\eta, x^a\tau\rangle_{fc,c} = \langle x^{-a}\eta, x^a T^* x^{-a} x^a\tau\rangle_{fc,c} = \langle \eta, T^*\tau\rangle_{fc,c}.$$

We will refer to this as the extended L^2 pairing.

3 Proof of Theorem 1.1

For the first part of this theorem, we can let g_{fc} be any fibred cusp metric at all. This is because fibred cusp metrics are all quasi-isometric, the space of L^2 harmonic forms is isomorphic to reduced L^2 cohomology, and reduced L^2 cohomology is a quasi-isometry invariant. Thus in this case, if we prove the theorem for product type fibred cusp metrics, we have up to isomorphism proved it for any fibred cusp metric. So assume that g_{fc} is a product type fibred cusp metric. By Lemma 2.1, it suffices to show

$$\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{WH}^*(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g_{fc}}, \mathrm{c}+\epsilon) \to \mathrm{WH}^*(\mathrm{M}, \mathrm{g_{fc}}, \mathrm{c}-\epsilon)).$$

In particular, we need to show that

$$\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong \frac{\mathrm{Ker}(d) \subset x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})}{x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \cap d(x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}))}.$$

The map: Assume that $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Then by the regularity result, $\omega \in x^{1+c+\epsilon}H^\infty_{split}$. But then each ω_i is also in this space, which is contained in the domain of $(d + \delta_{fc,c})$ by the boundedness of split operators on split spaces. Also, $(d+\delta_{fc,c})^2\omega = 0$, and because this operator preserves degrees, this is true for each ω_i. Thus ω_i is also in the domain of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})^2$. But since ω_i is in the domain of $d+\delta_{fc,c}$ and $(d+\delta_{fc,c})[(d+\delta_{fc,c})\omega_i)] = 0$, this means also that $(d+\delta_{fc,c})\omega_i$ is in the domain of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})$. Thus we are justified in integrating by parts by the self-adjointness of $d + \delta_{fc,c}$, and we get

$$||(d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega_j||^2_{x^cL^2} = \langle (d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega_j, (d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega_j\rangle_{x^cL^2} = \langle (d + \delta_{fc,c})^2\omega_j, \omega_j\rangle_{x^cL^2} = 0.$$

Thus also $(d+\delta_{fc,c})\omega_j = 0$ for all $j = 0, \ldots, n$. But $d\omega$ is a $j+1$ form and $\delta_{fc,c}$ is a $j-1$ form, so they cannot cancel, which means that we have $d\omega_j = \delta_{fc_c}\omega_j = 0$, so additionally, $d\omega = \delta_{fc,c}\omega = 0$ as required.

Injectivity: Assume as before that $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$ and that $\omega = d\eta$ for $\eta \in x^{1+c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Then from Part (1), $\delta_{fc,c}\omega = 0$, so ω is in the domain of $\delta_{fc,c}$, and since $d\eta = \omega \in x^cL^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, also η is in the domain of d. Thus we can write

$$||\omega||^2_{x^cL^2} =< \omega, d\eta >_{x^cL^2} =< \delta_{fc,c}\omega, \eta >_{x^cL^2} = 0,$$

and get $\omega = 0$. Thus the map is injective.

Surjectivity: This comes from a Hodge decomposition theorem:

Lemma 3.1. *For any $\alpha \in x^cL^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, there is a unique decomposition*

$$\alpha = (d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta + \gamma,$$

where η is in $x^{c+1+\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$.

Further, if $\alpha \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, then it can be uniquely decomposed as

$$\alpha = d\eta_1 + \delta_{fc,c}\eta_2 + \gamma,$$

where each summand is independently in $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and the terms are mutually orthogonal as elements of $x^cL^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$.

Proof. We start with a weak Hodge decomposition theorem, which says that for any $\alpha \in x^c L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, there is a unique decomposition

$$\alpha = \eta + \gamma,$$

where η is in the $x^c L^2$ closure of $(d + \delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}))$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. To obtain this, we need to show that the orthogonal complement in $x^c L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ of the closure of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}))$ is $\mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. First we can show the orthocomplement of the image is contained in harmonic forms. Suppose that $\omega \in x^c L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ has the property that for all $\eta \in x^{c+1}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, we have

$$0 = \langle (d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta, \omega\rangle_{x^c L^2}.$$

Take a sequence $\omega_n \to \omega$ in $x^c L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ where all of the ω_n are smooth and compactly supported. Then we have

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle (d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta, \omega_n\rangle_{x^c L^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} \langle \eta, (d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega_n\rangle_{x^c L^2}.$$

This implies $\lim_{n\to\infty}(d+\delta_{fc,c})\omega_n = 0$, which exactly means that ω is in the domain of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})$ and $(d + \delta_{fc,c})\omega = 0$. Thus $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Now, if $\omega \in \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, then we can directly integrate by parts to see that ω is orthogonal to the image of $d+\delta_{fc,c}$, so the harmonic forms are also contained in the complement of the image.

Next we identify the $x^c L^2$ closure of $(d + \delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}))$ as

$$x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \cap (d + \delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})).$$

To do this, we first let $\alpha \in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, $(d + \delta)\alpha \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Then

$$\langle (d + \delta_{fc,c})\alpha, \gamma\rangle_{x^c L^2} = \langle \alpha, (d + \delta_{fc,c})\gamma\rangle_{x^c L^2} = 0.$$

So $(d + \delta_{fc,c})\alpha$ is in the orthogonal complement of $\mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. But by the weak Hodge decomposition, this is equal to the first space in the claim, so we get the first containment.

Now assume that $\alpha \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ is in the closure of the image of $d + \delta_{fc,c}$. This means there is some sequence b_n in $x^{c+1}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ with $||\alpha - (d + \delta_{fc,c})b_n||_{x^c L^2} \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$. But since $||\cdot||_{x^{c-\epsilon}L^2} \leq ||\cdot||_{x^c L^2}$, this means also that $(d + \delta)b_n$ tends to $\alpha \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. But $\mathrm{Im}(d + \delta_{fc,c})$ is closed here by the Fredholm property, so in fact $\alpha = (d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta$ for some $\eta \in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and we have the second containment.

Finally, we can finish the proof of the lemma. First assume we have such a decomposition of $\alpha \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Then by integration by parts, the decomposition is orthogonal with respect to $x^c L^2$; thus it is unique. Furthermore, this means that if $d\alpha = 0$, $\delta_{fc,c}\beta_2 = 0$. To show that such a decomposition exists, we only need that in the decomposition above, each of $d\eta$ and $\delta_{fc,c}\eta$ is independently in $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. (Here we are using the containment $x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \subset x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$.) This follows from somewhat tricky analysis, which we outline here, but which is done in detail in [16].

Suppose that $\alpha \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and write $\alpha = d\eta + \gamma$ as in the decomposition above. Then γ is also in $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, so $(d + \delta_{fc,c})\eta \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. From the regularity theorem applied to η, this means that $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta'$, where $\eta' \in x^{c+1+\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and on the end,

$$\eta_0 = u + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge v,$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{K}^{(f/2)-c})$; that is, they are fibre harmonic forms on Y of vertical degree $\frac{f}{2} - c$ that are also harmonic as forms on B with values in $\mathcal{K}_{(f/2)-c}$. This means that

$$d\eta_0 = \left(\Pi x^2 R\Pi + \Pi^\perp d_Y \Pi\right) u + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \left(\Pi x^2 R\Pi + \Pi^\perp d_Y \Pi\right) v.$$

But the operator on the right here preserves the degree of vanishing in x, as is proved in [16]. This means that $d\eta \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. By an analogous argument, also $\delta_{fc,c}\eta \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and we are done.

□

As a corollary of the proof of this lemma, we can note the following alternative version of the $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2$ Hodge decomposition:

Corollary 3.2. *The following decomposition is orthogonal in $x^cL^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$:*

$$x^{c+\epsilon}L^2_{fc}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) = (d + \delta_{fc,c})N_{fc,c} + \mathcal{H}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c),$$

where

$$N_{fc,c} := \{\eta \in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) : \eta|_{x<1/2} = u + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge v + \eta',$$
$$\eta' \in x^{c+1+\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc});\ u, v \in \mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{K}^{(f/2)-c})\}.$$

Now we can complete step (3) in the theorem. We need to show that the map

$$\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \to \frac{\mathrm{Ker}(d) \subset x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})}{x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \cap d(x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}))}$$

is a surjection. Given the Hodge decomposition in Lemma 3.1, this follows as in the standard Hodge theorem. Let $\alpha \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and $d\alpha = 0$. Then $\alpha = d\eta + \gamma$, where $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$ and $\eta \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, so $[\alpha] = [\gamma]$ in the cohomology group on the right.

The last step is to consider the intersection product on these forms. The L^2 intersection product on forms over M with respect to the metric g_{fc} gives a natural pairing

$$\cap_{L^2} : x^cL^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc}) \otimes x^{-c}L^2\Omega^{n-j}(M, g_{fc}) \to \mathbb{R}.$$

$$\alpha \cap_{L^2} \beta := \int_M \alpha \wedge \beta.$$

In particular, we can restrict to the space of weighted L^2 harmonic forms. Because

$$*_c : \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \to \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, -c)$$

is an isomorphism, this means that for every nontrivial $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, we can take $*_c\omega \in \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, -c)$ and get

$$\omega \cap_{L^2} *_c\omega := \int_M \omega \wedge *_c\omega := ||\omega||^2_c \neq 0.$$

Thus it is nondegenerate on these spaces. Furthermore, the isomorphism in Theorem 1.1 implies that the signature of this pairing in the case $c = 0$ is exactly the perverse signature on X with middle perversity, from which we obtain the desired result.

4 Proof of Theorem 1.2

First we examine the space of extended $x^cL^2_{fc}$ harmonic forms. We can see from Theorem 2.3 that these are the same as the $x^cL^2_{fc}$ harmonic forms when $\frac{f}{2} - c \notin \{0, \ldots, f\}$. Thus we will restrict our consideration to $c \in \{-f/2, \cdots, f/2\}$. More importantly, we will also now restrict to the case where the metric on M is geometrically flat. From Corollary 3.2, we get the following decomposition (note this does not require the flatness assumption).

Lemma 4.1. *There exists a vector space decomposition:*

$$x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}) = (d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})) \oplus \mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fc},c).$$

Proof. We obtain this by dualising the decomposition in Corollary 3.2. That is, we show that $\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$ is the annihilator under the extended x^cL^2 pairing of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})N_{fc,c}$ and $(d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}))$ is the annihilator of $\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$. We see this as follows.

From Corollary 3.2 we have that $\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$ is contained in the annihilator of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})N_{fc,c}$. Also, its dimension is equal to the codimension in $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})N_{fc,c}$, so in fact, it is the entire annihilator.

Next, we have that $(d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}))$ is contained in the annihilator of $\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$ under the extended pairing. We need to show that it is the whole annihilator. Assume that $\alpha \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ and that $\langle\alpha,\gamma\rangle_{x^cL^2}=0$ for all $\gamma\in\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$. Since $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ is dense in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$, we can take a sequence $\{\alpha_n\}\subset x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ such that $\alpha_n\to\alpha$ in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$. Then we can decompose each $\alpha_n=(d+\delta_{fc,c})\beta_n+\tau_n$ using the decomposition from Corollary 3.2. Let γ_k be a basis of $\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)$. We get

$$0 = \lim_{n\to\infty}\max_k\langle\alpha_n,\gamma_k\rangle_{x^cL^2}$$

$$= \lim_{n\to\infty}\max_k\langle(d+\delta_{fc,c})\beta_n+\tau_n,\gamma_k\rangle_{x^cL^2} = \lim_{n\to\infty}\max_k\langle\tau_n,\gamma_k\rangle_{x^cL^2}.$$

This implies that $\tau_n\to 0$ in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$. So in fact, we simply have $\alpha=\lim_{n\to\infty}(d+\delta_{fc,c})\beta_n$. But the image of $(d+\delta_{fc,c})$ is closed in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$, so in fact $\alpha\in(d+\delta_{fc,c})x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ as required. □

When the metric on M is a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric, we get the following refined version of Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.2. *If g_{fc} is a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric, then there exists a vector space decomposition:*

$$x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M,g_{fc}) = \Delta_{fc,c}(x^{c+2-\epsilon}H^2_{split}\Omega^j(M,g_{fc}))\oplus\mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M,g_{fc},c).$$

Proof. Note that

$$x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})\subset x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}),$$

so we may apply the decomposition to this space. We obtain

$$x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}) = x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})\cap$$

$$\left((d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}))\oplus\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c)\right).$$

The second term on the right (second row) is already in $x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ by regularity. Also by regularity, if $(d+\delta_{fc,c})\eta\in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$, then $\eta\in x^{c+2-\epsilon}H^2_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$. So this reduces to

$$x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}) = (d+\delta_{fc,c})(x^{c+2-\epsilon}H^2_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc}))\oplus\mathcal{H}^*_{L^2}(M,g_{fb},c).$$

Substitute this into the decomposition from Lemma 4.1. The harmonic form piece from $x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M,g_{fc})$ vanishes when we apply $(d+\delta_{fc,c})$. Now we simply note that the Laplacian preserves form degree so we may write decomposition in each degree to get the desired result. □

Let us consider the extended harmonic forms.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $\eta\in\mathcal{H}^*_{ext}(M,g_{fc},c)$. Then $d\eta=\delta_{fc,c}\eta=0$ and $\eta_j\in\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M,g_{fc},c)$ for all j.*

Proof. First, by the regularity result, $\eta = \eta_0 + \eta'$, where $\eta' \in x^{c+1+\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and on the end,

$$\eta_0 = x^{c-f/2}\left(x^{(f/2)-c}u_{(f/2)-c} + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge x^{(f/2)-c}v_{(f/2)-c}\right),$$

where $u, v \in \mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{K}^{(f/2)-c})$. As in the proof of Lemma 3.1 above, this means that $d\eta = \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. This in turn implies $\delta_{fc,c}\eta \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Thus η is in the domain of d and $\delta_{fc,c}\eta$ is in the domain of $\delta_{fc,c}$, so we get

$$||d\eta||^2_{x^cL^2} = \langle d\eta, -\delta_{fc,c}\eta\rangle_{x^cL^2} = \langle \eta, -\delta^2_{fc,c}\eta\rangle_{x^cL^2} = 0.$$

So $d\eta = 0$, and thus also $\delta_{fc,c}\eta = 0$.

We now can notice that when we decompose by degree, $d\eta_j = 0$ for all j, as d shifts all of the form degrees up by one. Similarly, $\delta_{fc,c}\eta_j = 0$. Putting these together shows that $(d+\delta_{fc,c})\eta_j = 0$ for all j. □

When the metric on M is geometrically flat, we can apply the decomposition in Lemma 4.2 to the extended harmonic forms to get the following.

Lemma 4.4. *If g is a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M then*

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) = d(null(\Delta^{j-1}_{fc,c})) + \delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c),$$

where $null(\Delta^j_{fc,c}) := \{\eta \in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}) \mid \Delta_{fc,c}\eta = 0\}$ and where the sums are vector space direct sums.

Proof. By Lemma 4.1, we have

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) = \Delta_{fc,c}W^j + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c),$$

where $\Delta_{fc,c}W^j = \mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cap \Delta_{fc,c}(x^{c+2-\epsilon}H^2_{split}\Omega^j(M, g_{fc}))$. Note by bounded mapping properties, both $d\delta_{fc,c}W^j$ and $\delta_{fc,c}W^j$ are in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. Furthermore, applying d to both sides tells us by Lemma 4.3 that $d\delta_{fc,c}dW^j = 0$. Similarly, applying $\delta_{fc,c}$ to both sides we get that $\delta_{fc,c}d\delta_{fc,c}W^j = 0$. Thus $d\delta_{fc,c}W^j$ and $\delta_{fc,c}dW^j$ are independently in $\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Thus we may write

$$\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c) = d\delta_{fc,c}W^j + \delta_{fc,c}dW^j + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c). \tag{4}$$

Now let us consider what W^j looks like. We can apply d to both sides of this equation to see that $d\delta_{fc,c}dW^j = 0$. Similarly, applying $\delta_{fc,c}$ to both sides, we get that $\delta_{fc,c}d\delta_{fc,c}W^j = 0$. This means that dW^j and $\delta_{fc,c}W^j$ are both contained in $null(\Delta^*_{fc,c})$. In particular, we have that $dW^j \subset null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})$ and $\delta_{fc,c}W^j \subset null(\Delta^{j-1}_{fc,c})$.

Now consider $\tau \in null(\Delta^j_{fc,c}) \subset x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega(M, g_{fc})$. Then $(d + \delta_{fc,c})\tau \in \mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, but by Lemma 4.3 again, all such forms are both closed and coclosed, so $d\delta_{fc,c}\tau = \delta_{fc,c}d\tau = 0$ independently. This means that also $d\tau, \delta_{fc,c}\tau \in \mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$ independently, so we may replace $\delta_{fc,c}W^j$ by $null(\Delta^{j-1}_{fc,c})$ and dW^j by $null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})$ in Equation (4).

Finally, we already know that the third term has trivial intersection with the sum of the first two. But if $\tau \in null(\Delta^*_{fc,c})$, then by the regularity theorem, $\tau = \tau_0 + \tau'$, where $\tau' \in x^{c+1+\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$, and on the end,

$$\eta_0 = \left(u_{11}\log x + u_{21} + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge (u_{12}\log x + u_{22})\right), \tag{5}$$

where $u_{i,j} \in \mathcal{H}^*(Y)$. We have that $\delta_{fc,c} = \delta_{fc} - 2c\frac{dx}{x}\lrcorner$. This means that $\delta_{fc}\eta_0$ will have no $\frac{dx}{x}$ part, so $*_c\delta_{fc}\eta_0$ will have only the $\frac{dx}{x}$ part. Now consider the boundary term in the integration by parts:

$$< d\tau, \delta_{fc,c}\eta >_{x^cL^2} = \int_M d\tau \wedge *_c\delta_{fc,c}\eta = \lim_{x\to 0}\int_Y \tau(x) \wedge *_c\delta_{fc,c}\eta(x) = 0.$$

Because by hypothesis τ and η are polyhomogeneous, as they are in the kernel of the Laplacian, the boundary terms containing τ' and η' will vanish to some positive degree in x at the boundary. However, because the remaining term has a $\frac{dx}{x}$ in it, this term is identically zero when integrated over Y. Thus we can integrate by parts to show that the first two terms in the decomposition also have trivial intersection, which finishes the proof. □

This leads us to make the following definitions. Let $p = (f/2) - c \in \{0, \ldots, f+1\}$. Define

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) := d(null(\Delta^{j-1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c),$$

and

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) := \delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c).$$

Now we can prove the version of Poincaré duality on extended harmonic forms.

Lemma 4.5. *The weighted Hodge star operator, $*_c$, gives an isomorphism*

$$*_c : \mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) \to \mathcal{IH}^{n-j}_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}). \tag{6}$$

We have that $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$ if and only if $\omega \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ and $d\omega = \delta_c\omega = 0$. So suppose we have $\omega \in \mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Then since $*_c = x^{-2c}*$, we get $*_c\omega \in x^{-c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$. Next we can use the equations $*_c*_{-c} = (-1)^{j(n-j)}$ and $\delta_{fc,c} = (-1)^{n(j+1)+1} *_{-c} d*_c$ to write

$$\delta_{fc,-c} *_c \omega = (-1)^{n(j+1)+1} *_c d *_{-c} *_c\omega = (-1)^{n-j+1} *_c d\omega = *_c 0 = 0,$$

and

$$d(*_c\omega) = (-1)^{(n-j+1)(j-1)} *_c (*_{-c}d*_c)\omega = (-1)^{j^2} *_c \delta_{fc,c}\omega = (-1)^{j^2} *_c 0 = 0.$$

Thus $*_c\omega \in \mathcal{H}^{n-j}_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, -c)$. Finally, we can note that if $\omega \in \mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc})$, then

$$*_c\omega = *_c(d\eta + \gamma) = \delta_{-c}((-1)^{(j-1)(n-j+1)+nj+1} *_c \eta) + *_c\gamma.$$

By the same argument that shows $*_c\omega \in \mathcal{H}^{n-j}_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, -c)$, we get that $*_c\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^{n-j}_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, -c)$ and that

$$(-1)^{(j-1)(n-j+1)+nj+1} *_c \eta \in x^{-c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$$

and

$$\Delta_{fc,-c}((-1)^{(j-1)(n-j+1)+nj+1} *_c \eta) = 0.$$

So $*_c\omega \in \mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc})$, as desired.

The remainder of Theorem 1.2 is then a corollary of the following two lemmas.

Lemma 4.6. *Let g be a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M. Then*

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) \cong IH^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(X, B).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.6. We make use of the isomorphism in Lemma 2.1 to rewrite

$$IH^j_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}(X,B) \cong WH^j_{fc}(M, c-(\epsilon/2)).$$

So we need to show that

$$\delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong WH^j_{fc}(M, c-\epsilon). \tag{7}$$

We already know that there is a map from the right side to the left that simply takes an element ω on the right to its class in $WH^j_{fc}(M, c-\epsilon)$. This makes sense because we know the right side is contained in $\mathcal{H}^j_{ext}(M, g_{fc}, c)$, thus is in $x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$ for all $\epsilon > 0$. Further, elements on the left are closed by Lemma 4.3. Thus we need to show that this map is both injective and surjective.

First look at surjectivity. Suppose that $\alpha \in x^{c-(\epsilon/2)}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$ and that $d\alpha = 0$. Then by Lemma 4.2, we can decompose it as

$$\alpha = \Delta_{fc,c}(\tau) + \gamma,$$

where $\tau \in x^{c+1-\epsilon}H^1_{split}\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$ and $\gamma \in \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Now applying d to both sides, we get that $d\delta_{fc,c}d\tau = 0$. But this implies that in fact $\Delta_{fc,c}(d\tau) = 0$, that is $d\tau \in null(\Delta^{j-1}_{fc,c})$. Let $\omega = \delta_{fc,c}d\tau + \gamma$, which is in the space on the left in Equation 7. Then we have $\alpha = \omega + d(\delta_{fc,c}\tau)$, where $\delta_{fc,c}\tau \in x^{c-(\epsilon/2)}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. Thus the map is surjective.

Now consider injectivity. Suppose $\omega \in \delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c)$. Then from Lemma 4.3 we also know that $\delta_{fc,c}\omega = 0 \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. Assume $\omega = d\alpha$ for some $\alpha \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. Then we can use the extended pairing to get

$$||\omega||^2_c = \langle d\alpha, \omega\rangle_c = \langle \alpha, \delta_{fc,c}\rangle_c = 0.$$

Thus we get that $\omega = 0$, and the map is also injective. □

Lemma 4.7. *Let g be a geometrically flat fibred cusp metric on M. Then*

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) \cong IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, B).$$

Proof of Lemma 4.7. We can do this formally as follows. By Lemma 4.5,

$$\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}) \cong \mathcal{IH}^{n-j}_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}(M, g_{fc}).$$

By Lemma 4.6, the space on the right is isomorphic to $IH^{n-j}_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}(X, B)$, which by Poincaré duality for intersection cohomology is isomorphic to $IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, B)$, as desired.

□

5 Proof of Theorem 1.3

In order to prove Theorem 1.3, we need to show that in the geometrically flat setting, the $f/2-c-\epsilon$ and $f/2-c+\epsilon$ perversity intersection cohomologies fit into the indicated long exact sequence. Additionally, to realise the map from the spaces of extended harmonic forms, we also need to show that we can calculate the $f/2-c-\epsilon$ perversity intersection cohomology from a different complex of forms.

5.1 Cochain complexes for relative and absolute cohomology

Before we expand the possible complexes for calculating intersection cohomologies of various perversities, it is instructive to consider the case when $f = c = 0$, in which case X is a manifold with boundary B and $IH^*_{\frac{f}{2}-c}(X, B) \cong H^*(X - B)$ and $IH^*_{\frac{f}{2}-c-1}(X, B) \cong H^*(X, B)$. To avoid confusion later, we will refer here to the manifold with boundary $X = \overline{M}$. In this case, we know that $H^*(\overline{M}) \cong H^*(\overline{M} - \partial\overline{M}) = H^*(X - B)$. We will start by considering $H^*(\overline{M})$, which may be calculated from the complex of smooth forms on $\overline{M}$. Consider the following exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \to \Omega_0(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{inc} \Omega(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}} \Omega(\partial\overline{M}) \to 0, \tag{8}$$

where $i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}$ is pullback to the boundary induced from the inclusion $i_{\partial\overline{M}} : \partial\overline{M} \to \overline{M}$ and $\Omega_0(\overline{M})$ is the kernel of this map. This induces a long exact sequence on cohomology, which by the five lemma is the relative cohomology exact sequence. Thus the complex

$$\Omega_0(\overline{M}) := \{\omega \in \Omega(\overline{M}) \mid i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}\omega = 0\}$$

calculates relative cohomology.

Now it is well known that $H^*(\overline{M}) \cong H^*(M)$, and we may also calculate it from the much larger complex of smooth forms on the open manifold M. The isomorphism of these two complexes comes from identifying $\overline{M}$ with a deformation retract of M to $M_s := \overline{M} - ([0, s) \times Y)$. When we chase through the isomorphisms, we get that the maps in the relative cohomology long exact sequence are then induced from the short exact sequence of complexes:

$$0 \to \Omega_s(M) \xrightarrow{inc} \Omega(M) \xrightarrow{i^*_s} \Omega(Y) \to 0, \tag{9}$$

where i^*_s is pullback to the submanifold $Y \times \{s\}$ induced from the inclusion $i_s : Y \cong (Y \times \{s\}) \to M$ and $\Omega_s(\overline{M})$ is the kernel of this map. Thus relative cohomology may be calculated from any of these complexes. We can push this even further to get the following lemma.

Lemma 5.1. *Consider the subcomplex*

$$\Omega^*_0(M) := \{u \in \Omega^*(M) \mid \lim_{s\to 0} i^*_s u = 0\},$$

where we fix an identification of the collar neighborhood $Y \times (0, 1)$ and a metric on Y and define the limit using the L^2 norm on forms over Y. Call its cohomology $H^*_0(M)$. Then $H^*_0(M) \cong H(\overline{M}, \partial\overline{M})$.

Proof. We prove this again using the relative cohomology long exact sequence and the five lemma. First we need to show exactness at $H^*(M)$ in the long exact sequence. The complex $\Omega^*_0(M)$ is a sub complex of $\Omega^*(M)$, thus there is an induced inclusion map on cohomology. We want to show that the image of this map is equal to the kernel of i^*_s. The homotopy used for the proof of $H^*(\overline{M}) \cong H^*(M)$ gives that if $[\omega] \in H^*(M)$ and $i_s[\omega] = [0] \in H^*(Y)$ for some s, then in fact $i_s[\omega]$ vanishes for all $s \in (0, 1]$. This is because if F_s is a deformation retract of M onto M_s for $s \in (0, 1]$, then $F^*_s u$ is a form on $\overline{M}$ given by restriction of M to M_s and identification of M_s with $\overline{M}$. The chain homotopy is given by:

$$R_{t,s}(u) := \int_t^s F^*_x(dx \lrcorner u)\, dx,$$

and $F^*_s(u) - F^*_t(u) = dR_{t,s}u + R_{t,s}(du)$ and $i^*_s = i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}F^*_s$. So if u is closed and $i^*_t u = 0$ for some t, then

$$i^*_s u = i^*_s u - i^*_t u = i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}(F^*_s(u) - F^*_t(u)) = i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}(dR_{t,s}u) = d_Y i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}(R_{t,s}u).$$

Thus $i_s^* u$ is exact for all $s \in (0,1]$, and $0 = i_s^*[u] \in H^*(Y)$ for all s. We can thus write u on the end as

$$u = d_Y \alpha + \frac{dx}{x}\beta$$

for α, β smooth families of forms on Y. Now let χ be a cutoff function supported near $\partial\overline{M}$. Then $i_s^*(u)(u - d\chi\alpha) = 0$ for all s, so in particular, $\lim_{s\to 0} i_s^*(u) = 0$, and $[u] = [u - d\chi\alpha]$. This means that the kernel of i_s^* is contained in the image of $H_0^*(M)$.

We next need to show that the image of $H_0^*(M) \to H^*(M)$ is contained in the kernel of i_s^*. To do this, we define a metric on $H^*(\partial M)$. This comes from any fixed metric on ∂M, using the L^2 norm on the space of harmonic forms, which is the same as the metric given on cohomology by taking the minimum L^2 metric for any form representing a given class. Then since the restriction map is constant in s on cohomology, and the L^2 norm of the harmonic projection is always bounded by the L^2 norm of the original form, we get that if $u \in \Omega^*(M)$ is closed and $\lim_{s\to 0} i_s^* u = 0$, then actually $i_s^*[u] = 0$ for all s. Thus it is in the kernel of the map i_s^* as required.

In order to check exactness at $H_0^*(M)$, we first need to consider the boundary map. The s-complex boundary map takes a closed form $\alpha \in \Omega^{j-1}(\partial\overline{M})$ and extends it to any form $\tilde{\alpha}$ where $i_s^*\tilde{\alpha} = \alpha$. Then $\delta[\alpha]_{\partial\overline{M}} = [d\tilde{\alpha}] \in H_s^*(M)$. Now we need it to extend α to a form $d\tilde{\alpha}$ where $\lim_{s\to 0} i_s^*\tilde{\alpha} = 0$. We can do this by taking the form $\tilde{\alpha} := \chi\pi^*\alpha$ where $\pi : U \to \partial M$. Since $\tilde{\alpha}$ is actually in $\Omega^{j-1}(M)$, we get that $i_s^*(d\chi\pi^*\alpha) = d(i_s^*(\chi\pi^*\alpha)) = d\alpha = 0$ for all $s \in [0, 1/2]$, and thus also the limit works.

Now we can check the exactness at $H_0^*(M)$. If $u \in \Omega_0^j(M)$ and $u = dw$ for $w \in \Omega^{j-1}(M)$, then as in the usual exact sequence, $[u] = \delta[i_s^* w]$, thus the kernel of the map to $H^j(M - \partial M)$ is contained in the image of δ. Finally, suppose that $[u] = \delta[\alpha]$. By definition of δ, this means $u = d\tilde{\alpha}$ for some $\alpha \in \Omega^{j-1}(M)$. This means that the image of δ is in the kernel of the map induced by inclusion of complexes. □

Finally, we would like to combine what we know about relative and absolute cohomology for the manifold M using smooth forms and what we know about them using forms which are in L^2 with respect to a b-metric, which for the purposes of this paper we may take to be a metric that on the end of M has the form

$$g_b = \frac{dx^2}{x^2} + g_Y.$$

We can start with the complex of conormal $x^c L^2$ forms over M with respect to g_b, which is defined by:

$$x^c L^2_{con}\Omega^*(M, g_b) := \{\omega \in \Omega^*(M) \mid ||x^{-c} V_1 \cdots V_j \omega||_{L^2_g} < \infty$$
$$\forall\, V_i \text{ bounded vector fields with respect to the metric } g_b\}.$$

Because we have near the boundary that $d_M = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge x\partial_x + d_Y$, where $\frac{dx}{x}$ is a pointwise unit length 1-form and $x\partial_x$ is a unit length vector field, the conormality condition ensures that this is a complex. If $\epsilon > 0$, then the cohomology of this complex is

$$L^2 H^*_{con}(M, g_b, -\epsilon) \cong H^*(M),$$

(see, eg [26]). The complex $x^{-\epsilon} L^2_{con}\Omega^*(M, g_b)$ is a subcomplex of $\Omega^*(M)$, and the same map i_s^* can be defined to $H^*(Y)$. Now we want to prove an L^2 version of Lemma 5.1.

Lemma 5.2. *Define the subcomplex*

$$x^{-\epsilon} L^2_0\Omega^*(M, g_b) := \{\omega \in x^{-\epsilon} L^2_{con}\Omega^*(M, g_b) \mid \lim_{s\to 0} i_s^*(\omega) = 0\}.$$

Then its cohomology is isomorphic to relative cohomology, i.e., $L^2 H_0^*(M, g_b, -\epsilon) \cong H_0^*(M)$.

Proof. Again, we use the five lemma and the relative long exact sequence. All we need to do is check in the proof of Lemma 5.1 that things descend to these subspaces. First we can check the boundary map. We have for $\alpha \in H^*(Y)$ that $\delta[\alpha] = [d\chi\pi^*\alpha]$. This form has constant pointwise norm in the b-metric on the end, so it is in $x^-\epsilon L^2$. Also, since α and χ are smooth, it is conormal. Finally, it satisfies the vanishing condition for i_s^*. Thus the image of this map lands in $L^2H_0^*(M, g_b, -\epsilon)$. The proof of exactness of the long exact sequence at $L^2H_0^*(M, g_b, -\epsilon)$ now follows as before.

When we check the exactness at $L^2H_{con}^*(M, g_b, -\epsilon)$, we just need to check that the form α constructed via the homotopy is in the conormal complex. We basically have that

$$\alpha(s) = \int_t^s i_x^* u \, dx,$$

where by conormality, we know that $i_x^* u = \mathcal{O}(x^{-\epsilon})$. When we integrate, we will get something $\mathcal{O}(x^{1-\epsilon})$, which is again conormal and in the correct weighted space. So the remainder of the argument follows as before. □

5.2 Cochain complexes for intersection cohomology

We would like to define complexes that calculate intersection cohomology analogous to the complexes for relative and absolute cohomology defined in the previous subsection.

For the proof of Theorem 1.2, we need a complex analogous to $x^cL_0^2\Omega^*(M, g_b)$ for relative cohomology. We can't define this in general–it will require that the link bundle $Y \to B$ is geometrically flat. Recall that geometrically flat means that there is some fixed metric g_F on F so that Y is flat with respect to $\mathrm{Isom}(F, g_F)$. We may then endow Y with the metric such that for any local trivialisation $V \times F \to V$, the metric is a product of g_F on the F factor and a metric on $V \subset B$ restricted from some (again fixed) choice of metric g_B on B. We have a Hodge theorem on F with respect to the fixed metric g_F:

$$\Omega^j(F) = d\Omega^{j-1}(F) \oplus \delta\Omega^{j+1}(F) \oplus \mathcal{H}^j(F),$$

where $\mathcal{H}^j(F)$ is the space of harmonic j forms on F and the $\oplus$ are orthogonal sums with respect to the L^2 inner product on $\Omega^j(F)$ induced from the metric g_F.

The fact that the metric g_{fc} is geometrically flat means that the Hodge decomposition is preserved by the transition functions for our charts on the end of M, so it makes sense to talk about the corresponding decomposition of forms on the end. Further, the vector fields $x\partial_x$ and any vector field lifted from the base preserve the Hodge decomposition on the fibers.

Define the complex

$$\Omega^i_{c\tau,p}(F) = \begin{cases} \{0\} & j \leq p-1 \\ \ker(\delta_F) & j = p \\ \Omega^j(F) & j > p. \end{cases}$$

Now define

$$\Pi_p : \Omega^*(F) \to \Omega^*_{c\tau,p}(F), \tag{10}$$

where for $\omega = d_F\alpha + \delta_F\beta + \gamma \in \Omega^j(F)$,

$$\Pi_p(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \leq p-1 \\ \delta_F\beta + \gamma & j = p \\ \omega & j > p. \end{cases}$$

Note that $d_F\Pi_p = \Pi_p d$, since if $j < p-1$, the Π_p on both sides are just 0. If $j = p-1$, then on the left, $\Pi_p = 0$ and on the right, we use the fact that uniqueness of the Hodge decomposition in degree p means $\Pi_p d_F = 0$. If $j = p$, then for $\omega = d_F\alpha + \delta_F\beta + \gamma$, we get on the right

$d_F(\delta_F\beta+\gamma) = d_F(\omega) = \Pi_p(d_F\omega)$. Finally, if $j > p$, then Π_p on both sides is just the identity, so it commutes with d_F.

We can write the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to \Omega^*_p(F) \xrightarrow{inc} \Omega^*(F) \xrightarrow{\Pi_p} \Omega^i_{c\tau,p}(F) \to 0,$$

where $\Omega^*_p(F)$ is the kernel of Π_p. This means that

$$\Omega^j_p(F) = \begin{cases} \Omega^j(F) & j \leq p-1 \\ d\Omega^{p-1}(F) & j = p \\ 0 & j > p. \end{cases}$$

Note that the cohomology of this complex is exactly the local calculation for $IH_p(C(F))$.

Now we extend these constructions and this lemma to Y. To do this, we write

$$\Omega^*(Y) \cong \Omega^*(B, \Omega^*(F)) \cong \sum_{j=0}^{f} \Omega^*(B, \Omega^j(F)).$$

Now we can use the Hodge decomposition with respect to the metric g_F on each fibre to define the subcomplex

$$\Omega^*_{c\tau,p}(Y) := \Omega^*(B, \Omega^*_{c\tau,p}(F)).$$

This is a subcomplex because in each trivialisation, the exterior derivative $d_Y = d_B + d_F$, and d_B commutes with the Hodge decomposition on $\Omega^*(F)$. Similarly we get a projection map:

$$\Pi_p : \Omega^*(Y) \to \Omega^*_{c\tau,p}(Y).$$

This again commutes with d_Y, as well as with any derivatives in the base directions.

Next, we can define complexes of forms on $\overline{M}$. Consider the complex of smooth forms on $\overline{M}$ that fits into the following short exact sequence:

$$0 \to I_p\Omega^*_0(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{inc} \Omega^*(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_p \circ i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}} \Omega^*_{c\tau,p}(Y) \to 0.$$

Because derivatives in the base directions commute with Π_p, the complex generates a complex of fine sheaves on X. Then the Kunneth formula applies over product-type regions $U \times [0,1] \times F$ to show that the local calculation is the correct one for $IH^*_p(X)$. Thus the cohomology of $I_p\Omega^*_0(\overline{M})$ is $IH^*_p(X)$.

We can also create a short exact sequence that relates intersection forms of two different perversities. Here we will consider only adjacent perversities, but this may be generalised. Define

$$\Omega^i_{c\tau,p-1,p}(F) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \leq p-1 \\ \ker(\delta_F) & j = p \\ d\Omega^p(F) & j = p+1 \\ 0 & j > p+1. \end{cases}$$

As before, extend this to Y to get $\Omega^i_{c\tau,p-1,p}(Y)$.

Now define

$$\Pi_{p-1,p} : \Omega^*(F) \to \Omega^*_{c\tau,p-1,p}(F), \tag{11}$$

where for $\omega = d_F\alpha + \delta_F\beta + \gamma \in \Omega^j(F)$,

$$\Pi_{p-1,p}(\omega) = \begin{cases} 0 & j \leq p-1 \\ \delta_F\beta + \gamma & j = p \\ d_F\alpha & j = p+1 \\ 0 & j > p+1. \end{cases}$$

As before, extend this to a map from $\Omega^*(Y)$ to $\Omega^i_{c\tau,p-1,p}(Y)$. Now we obtain the short exact sequence:

$$0 \to I_{p-1}\Omega_0^*(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{inc} I_p\Omega_0^*(\overline{M}) \xrightarrow{\Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i^*_{\partial\overline{M}}} \Omega^*_{c\tau,p-1,p}(Y) \to 0.$$

The point of this is that we now get a long exact sequence relating $IH^*_{p-1}(X)$, $IH^*_p(X)$ and $H^*(B, H^{p-1}(F))$, which we can use together with the five lemma to obtain the complex we want for calculating $IH^*_{p-1}(X)$ in the proof of Theorem 1.2.

To do this, we will use $x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc})$ in our long exact sequence to calculate $IH^*_p(X)$, and we want to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 5.3. *The cohomology of the complex:*

$$x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega_0^*(M, g_{fc}) := \{\omega \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc}) \mid \lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i_s^*\omega = 0, \lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i_s^* d\omega = 0\}$$

is isomorphic to $IH^*_{p-1}(X)$. Furthermore, we have the following long exact sequence on cohomology:

$$\cdots \to H^{j-p-1}(B, H^p(F)) \xrightarrow{\delta} IH^j_{p-1}(X) \xrightarrow{inc^*} IH^j_p(X) \xrightarrow{r} H^{j-p}(B, H^p(F)) \to \cdots,$$

where $r = \lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^*$.

Proof. First we need to check that the map on cohomology induced by $r = \lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^*$ makes sense. The proof is similar to the corresponding proof for when we replaced the absolute complex by the L^2 complex in the previous subsection. Note that on the end, an element $\omega \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j_{con}(M, g_{fc})$ can be written as:

$$\omega = \sum_i \omega_i := \sum_i \omega_{t,i} + \frac{dx}{x}\omega_{n,i-1},$$

where $\omega_{t,i}$ has fibre degree i and base degree $j-i$ and $\omega_{n,i-1}$ has fibre degree $i-1$ and base degree $j-i$. By the Leray spectral sequence for $Y \to B$, when we decompose a closed k-form α on Y by fibre and base bidegree, each component is closed. So if $d\omega = 0$, so is $d(\omega_p)$. Now we can apply the same chain homotopy $R_{t,s}$ argument from before to ω_p and we get that

$$\Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^*\omega - \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_t^*\omega = i_s^*\omega_p - i_t^*\omega_p = d_Y i^*(R_{t,s}\omega_p).$$

As before, by conormality, if $\omega \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j_{con}(M, g_{fc})$, so is $R_{t,s}\omega_p$, so we can conclude that on cohomology classes, $\Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i_s^*$ is independent of s, which means that the map $\lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^* : IH^*_p(X) \to H^*(B, H^p(F))$ makes sense when we calculate the first space using the weighted L^2 complex.

Now to check exactness at $IH^*_p(X)$, we need first to show that an element of the kernel of $\lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^*$ is in the image of the inclusion map. We can apply a similar argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, but now just to the piece ω_p. If $\lim_{s\to 0} \Pi_{p-1,p} \circ i_s^*[\omega] = 0$, this means that $i_s^*\omega_{t,p} = d_Y\alpha$. Since $\omega_{t,p}$ has bidegree $(j-p, p)$, we get that $\alpha = \alpha_{p-1} + \alpha_p$, where by conormality we may assume these are $\mathcal{O}(x^{-\epsilon})$ in norm. But for $j \leq p$, such forms are in $x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc})$, thus $[\omega] = [\omega - d\chi\alpha] \in IH^j_p(X)$. Showing that the image of the inclusion map is in the kernel follows the identical argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1.

Finally, to check exactness at the cohomology of the complex $x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega_0^*(M, g_{fc})$, we again need to understand the boundary map. The boundary map in this setting will take a closed form $\alpha \in \Omega^j_{c\tau,p-1,p}(Y)$ to $[d\chi\tilde{\alpha}]$ for any extension $\tilde{\alpha} \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc})$. Recall that $\alpha \in \Omega^j_{c\tau,p-1,p}(Y)$ is of the form

$$\alpha_{p-1} + d_F\beta_{p-1}.$$

This means that on the end, the cutoff constant extension

$$d\chi\alpha = d_Y\alpha = d_F\alpha_{p-1} + d_B\alpha_{p-1} + d_Bd_F\beta_{p-1}$$

so $d\chi\alpha$ has a piece of fibre degree p, which by construction is orthogonal to the kernel of δ_F by the Hodge decomposition on fibers. Thus this part is in the kernel of $\Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i_s^*$ for all s. The $p-1$ piece is automatically in the kernel of $\Pi_{p-1,p}\circ i_s^*$, and both are $\mathcal{O}(1)$, thus in particular, $\mathcal{O}(x^{-\epsilon})$, as required to be in the correct weighted conormal L^2 space. Thus the boundary map makes sense. The kernel of the inclusion is in the image of the boundary map by the same argument as in the proof of Lemma 5.1, Finally, the image of the boundary map is in the kernel of the inclusion because $\chi\alpha \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_{con}(M, g_{fc})$.

□

5.3 End of proof

The first and last vertical isomorphisms in the diagram in Theorem 1.3 are standard maps from harmonic forms on a compact manifold to cohomology, but in this flat setting, we can restrict those standard maps to forms with fibre degree $p = (f/2) - c$. From the proof of Theorem 1.2, we already have the third vertical map, and we know that the last square is commutative.

To construct the required vertical map, we use the complex $x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_0(M, g_{fc})$ from Lemma 5.3 to calculate $IH^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(X, B)$. Let

$$\omega = d\tau + \gamma \in d(null(\Delta^{j+1}_{fc,c})) + \mathcal{H}^j_{L^2}(M, g_{fc}, c).$$

By definition, $\omega \in x^{c-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$, and by Lemma 4.3, $d\omega = 0$. We also know from Equation 5 and the sentences following it that on the end,

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge u_{11} + \omega',$$

where $u_{11} \in \mathcal{H}^{j-(f/2)+c}(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$ is constant in x and $\omega' \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$ and is conormal. This means that $r(\omega) = r(\omega')$, since the pullback of any form containing a dx vanishes. Now considering what conormal forms in $x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$ look like, we find

$$\omega' = x^{c+\epsilon-(f/2)}\sum_{i=0}^{f}\frac{dx}{x} \wedge x^i\omega'_{1,i} + x^i\omega'_{2,i},$$

where each family $\omega'_{k,i}$ is bounded in x. Thus

$$r(\omega') = r\left(x^{c+\epsilon-(f/2)}x^{f/2-c}\omega_{2,(f/2)-c}\right) = r\left(x^\epsilon\omega_{2,(f/2)-c}\right) = 0,$$

as required. Thus elements of $\mathcal{IH}^j_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}(M, g_{fc})$ are naturally cocycles in the complex $x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^*_0(M, g_{fc})$.

The next step is to show that this map is injective. Because we already know that the two spaces are isomorphic (and finite dimensional), this will imply the map is also surjective. So suppose that ω from above is equal to $d\eta$ for $\eta \in x^{(f/2)-p-\epsilon}L^2\Omega^{j-1}_0(M, g_{fc})$. Then on the end,

$$\eta = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \eta_0 + \eta',$$

where $\eta' \in x^{(f/2)-p+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^{j-1}_0(M, g_{fc})$. The fact that $d\eta = \omega$ means that on the end, setting leading order terms in x equal, we get

$$-d_Y\eta_0 = u_{11} + u',$$

where $u' \in x^{(f/2)-p+\epsilon}L^2\Omega_0^{j-1}(M, g_{fc})$. But u_{11} is harmonic on Y, thus orthogonal to $d_Y\eta_0(x)$ for all x and constant. This means actually that $u_{11} = 0$ and $\omega \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. Now we can see that

$$||\omega||_c^2 =< \omega, d\eta >_c = 0,$$

so $\omega = 0$ as required.

We define the middle map in the top row of the diagram as

$$inc^* : d(null(\Delta_{fc,c}^{j+1})) + \mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c) \to \delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta_{fc,c}^{j+1})) + \mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c)$$

by $inc^*(d\tau + \gamma) = \gamma$. Thus the image of this map is $\mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c)$. We know from Theorem 1.1 that

$$\mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c) \cong \text{Im}\left(IH_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}^j(X, B) \to IH_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}^j(X, B)\right),$$

so the middle square in the diagram commutes as desired.

To finish the proof we define $\overline{\partial}$ to be the map that makes the first square of the diagram commute–we will identify it more directly later in Theorem 1.4.

6 Proof of Theorem 1.4

The first part of Theorem 1.4 is proved above in Lemma 4.5. So it remains to define the boundary data maps and prove the properties of their images. To define the boundary data maps, recall that by definition,

$$\mathcal{IH}_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}^j(M, g_{fc}) := \delta_{fc,c}(null(\Delta_{fc,c}^{j+1})) + \mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c).$$

We know from Equation 5 and the lines after it that on the end, an element of this space has the form

$$\omega = u_{12} + \omega',$$

where $u_{12} \in \mathcal{H}^{j-(f/2)+c}(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$ and $\omega' \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. We define the boundary data map on $\mathcal{IH}_{(f/2)-c+\epsilon}^j(M, g_{fc})$ by

$$\text{bd}_{T_c}\omega := r_c(\omega) = u_{12}.$$

Also by definition,

$$\mathcal{IH}_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}^j(M, g_{fc}) := d(null(\Delta_{fc,c}^{j+1})) + \mathcal{H}_{L^2}^j(M, g_{fc}, c).$$

We know from Equation 5 and the lines after it that on the end, an element of this space has the form

$$\omega = \frac{dx}{x} \wedge u_{11} + \omega',$$

where $u_{11} \in \mathcal{H}^{j-(f/2)+c}(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$ and $\omega' \in x^{c+\epsilon}L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc})$. We also have that

$$*_c : \mathcal{IH}_{(f/2)-c-\epsilon}^j(M, g_{fc}) \to \mathcal{IH}_{(f/2)+c+\epsilon}^j(M, g_{fc})$$

is an isomorphism. Thus we define the boundary data map on this space by

$$\text{bd}_{S_c}\omega := *_F \text{bd}_{T_c} *_c \omega = u_{11}.$$

In fact, both of these boundary maps come from the boundary data map

$$BD : \mathcal{H}_{ext}^*(M, g_{fc}, c) \to \left[\mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))\right]^2,$$

$$BD(\omega) = (\omega_1, \omega_2)$$

for ω which on the end has the form

$$\omega = \omega_1 + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \omega_2 + \omega'.$$

We want to show that the images of bd_{T_c} and bd_{S_c} are complementary orthogonal subspaces of $\mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$ and that when $c = 0$, they are complementary Lagrangian subspaces for the restriction of the intersection pairing on $H^*(Y)$.

To do this, we use a variation of the boundary pairing from Section 6.1 of [26]. We start with the operator $d + \delta_{fc,c}$ considered as acting on forms over M with values in a topological trivial coefficient line bundle L with metric carrying the weight x^{-c}, that is so that $\omega \in x^c L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc})$ if and only if $\omega \in L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}; L)$. Then $d+\delta_{fc,c}$ is its own formal adjoint with respect to the L^2 inner product. Now we consider the b-operator P_c obtained by restricting $d+\delta_{fc,c}$ to the space of forms over the end $Y \times [0, 1)$ whose vertical part takes values in $\mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F)$. Note that when g_{fc} is geometrically flat, $d + \delta_{fc,c}$ preserves this space of fibre harmonic forms, so this makes sense. We end up with a self-adjoint b-operator, P_c acting on $L^2\Omega^*(B \times [0, 1), g_b; \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F) \otimes L)$, where the bundle $\mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F) \otimes L$ carries a weight of $x^{f/2}$ in its metric.

Now we can apply the theorems from [26] to P_c. The formal null space associated to the indicial root $\frac{f}{2}$, which is the critical root for $\omega \in L^2\Omega^*(M, g_{fc}; L)$, is the space

$$F(P_c, \frac{f}{2}) = \{\omega_1 + \frac{dx}{x} \wedge \omega_2 \mid \omega_i \in \mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))\}.$$

By Proposition 6.2 of [26], we can define a nondegenerate bilinear form $iB(u, v)$ on $F(P_c, \frac{f}{2})$ by

$$iB(u, v) := \int_{B\times[0,1)} P_c(\chi u) \wedge *_c \chi v - \chi u \wedge *_c P_c(\chi v),$$

where χ is a cutoff function supported near $x = 0$ and $*_c$ is the weighted Hodge star operator from M restricted to the subspace of $f/2 - c$ degree fibre harmonic forms. Furthermore, iB is independent of the choice of χ. When we carry out integration by parts here, we find that

$$iB(u, v) =< u_1, v_2 >_Y - < u_2, v_1 >_Y .$$

From this formula, we can see that this is a symplectic pairing on $F(P_c, \frac{f}{2}) \cong \left[\mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))\right]^2$.

Lemma 6.1. *The subspace* $\mathrm{Im}(BD) \subset F(P_c, \frac{f}{2})$ *is a Lagrangian subspace under this pairing.*

Proof. First, we can note that Theorem 1.3 implies that the dimension of $\mathrm{Im}(BD) \subset F(P_c, \frac{f}{2})$ is equal to the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$. Thus the subspace is half-dimensional. We need to show that it is self-annihilating under the pairing iB. This follows from Lemma 6.4 from [26] by the same argument, with a bit of adaptation. First suppose that $u, v \in \mathrm{Im}(BD) \subset F(P_c, \frac{f}{2})$. Then there exist extended harmonic forms $\tilde{u}, \tilde{v}$ such that,

$$\tilde{u} = \chi u + u' \qquad \tilde{v} = \chi v + v', \qquad u', v' \in x^{c+\epsilon} L^2\Omega^j(M, g_{fc}),$$

so $(d + \delta_{fc,c})(\chi u) = -(d + \delta_{fc,c})u'$ and similarly for v. Then

$$\begin{aligned} iB(u, v) &= \int_{B\times[0,1)} P_c(\chi u) \wedge *_c \chi v - \chi u \wedge *_c P_c(\chi v) \\ &= \int_{Y\times[0,1)} (d + \delta_{fc,c})(\chi u) \wedge *_c \chi v - \chi u \wedge *_c (d + \delta_{fc,c})(\chi v) \\ &= \int_{Y\times[0,1)} -(d + \delta_{fc,c})(u') \wedge *_c \chi v - \chi u \wedge *_c (d + \delta_{fc,c})(\chi v). \end{aligned}$$

Because all of the terms have support near $x = 1$, we can replace the integral here with an integral over all of M. Then in the first term, by the decay of u', we can integrate by parts using the extended L^2 pairing to get

$$\begin{aligned} &= \int_M -(u') \wedge *_c(d + \delta_{fc,c})\chi v - \chi u \wedge *_c(d + \delta_{fc,c})(\chi v) \\ &= \int_M \tilde{u} \wedge *_c(d + \delta_{fc,c})\chi v \\ &= \int_M \tilde{u} \wedge *_c(d + \delta_{fc,c})v' = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Where in the last step, we have used the vanishing of v' to justify the integration by parts using the extended L^2 pairing. □

Now consider $(\mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{bd}_{T_c}), 0)$ and $(0, \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{bd}_{S_c}))$. We know by Lemma 4.4 that these images are complementary subspaces of $\mathrm{Im}(BD)$, so their dimensions must add up to the dimension of $\mathcal{H}^*(B, \mathcal{H}^{(f/2)-c}(F))$. By Lemma 6.1, we also know that for $u_1 \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{bd}_{T_c})$ and $v_2 \in \mathrm{Im}(\mathrm{bd}_{S_c})$,

$$0 = iB((u_1, 0), (0, v_2)) =< u_1, v_2 >_Y,$$

so they are also orthogonal. This proves the second part of Theorem 1.4. Finally, we see from the first part of the theorem that when $c = 0$, the Hodge star $*_Y$, is an isomorphism between these images, so they are of equal dimension, and the intersection pairing on Y is just $< u, *_Y v >$, which proves the final part of the theorem.

References

[1] P. Albin, E. Leichtnam, R. Mazzeo, P. Piazza, *Hodge Theory on Cheeger Spaces*, arXiv:1307.5473v2.

[2] M. Atiyah, V. Patodi, I. Singer, *Spectral asymmetry and Riemannian geometry, I*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **77** no. 1, (1975).

[3] M. Banagl, *Extending Intersection Homology Type Invariants to Non-Witt Spaces*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **760**, (2002).

[4] M. Banagl, *Isometric group actions and the cohomology of flat fiber bundles*, Groups Geom. Dyn. **7**, no.2, (2013).

[5] M. Banagl, *Intersection spaces, spatial homology truncation, and string theory*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1997. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2010.

[6] M. Banagl and E. Hunsicker, *A Hodge Theorem for HI cohomology*, arxiv .

[7] J. Brüning, M. Lesch, *Hilbert Complexes*, J. Funct. Anal. **108**, no.1, (1992).

[8] G. Carron, *L^2 cohomology of manifolds with flat ends*, Geom. Funct. Anal. **13**, no. 2, (2003) 366–395.

[9] G. Carron, *Cohomologie L^2 et parabolicité* J. Geom. Anal. **15**, no. 3, (2005), 391–404.

[10] G. Carron, *Cohomologie L^2 des variétés QALE*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **655** (2011).

[11] J. Cheeger, *On the spectral geometry of spaces with cone-like singularities*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 76, no. 5, (1979), 2103?2106.

[12] P. Connor, *The Neumann problem for differential forms on Riemannian manifolds*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **20**, (1956).

[13] G. Friedman, E. Hunsicker, *Additivity and non-additivity for perverse signatures*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **676** (2013).

[14] D. Grieser, E. Hunsicker, *Pseudodifferential operator calculus for generalized Q-rank 1 locally symmetric spaces, I*, Journal of Functional Analysis 257, (2009), 3748-3801.

[15] D. Grieser, E.Hunsicker, *A Parametrix Construction for the Laplacian on Q-rank 1 Locally Symmetric Spaces*, in "Fourier Analysis: Pseudo-differential Operators, Time-Frequency Analysis and Partial Differential Equations," M. Ruzhansky and M. Turunen (Eds.). Series: Trends in Mathematics. Springer, Switzerland, (2014), 149-186.

[16] D. Grieser and E. Hunsicker, *Pseudodifferential operator calculus for generalized $\mathbb{Q}$-rank 1 locally symmetric spaces, II* , in preparation.

[17] T. Hausel, E. Hunsicker, and R. Mazzeo, *Hodge cohomology of gravitational instantons*, Duke Mathematical Journal **122**, no.3, (2004), 485-548.

[18] N.J. Hitchin, *L^2-cohomology of hyperKähler quotients*, Comm. Math. Phys. **211**,no. 1, (2000), 153-165.

[19] E. Hunsicker, *L^2 harmonic forms for a class of complete Kähler metrics*, Mich. Math. J. **50**, no. 2, (2002), 339-349.

[20] E. Hunsicker, *Hodge and signature theorems for a family of manifolds with fibre bundle boundary*, Geom. Topol. **11**, (2007), 1581-1622.

[21] M. Lesch, *Operators of Fuchs type, conical singularities, and asymptotic methods.* Teubner-Texte zur Math, **136**. Stuttgart, (1997).

[22] E. Hunsicker and R. Mazzeo, *Harmonic forms on manifolds with edges*, IMRN no. 52, (2005), 3229–3272.

[23] R. Mazzeo, *The Hodge cohomology of a conformally compact metric*, J. Diff. Geom., **28**, (1988), 309-339.

[24] R. Mazzeo and R. Melrose, *Pseudodifferential operators on manifolds with fibred boundaries* in *"Mikio Sato: a great Japanese mathematician of the twentieth century."*, Asian J. Math. **2**, no. 4, (1998), 833–866.

[25] R. Mazzeo and R. S. Phillips, *Hodge theory on hyperbolic manifolds*, Duke Math. J. **60**, no. 2, (1990), 509-559.

[26] R. Melrose, *The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem*, A.K. Peters, Newton (1991).

[27] J. Mueller, *A Hodge-type theorem for manifolds with fibered cusp metrics*, Geometric and Functional Analysis, vol. 21, no. 2, (2011), 443-482

[28] L. Saper, M. Stern, *L_2-cohomology of arithmetic varieties*, Ann. of Math. (2), **132**, no. 1, (1990), 1-69.

[29] B. Vaillant, *Index and spectral theory for manifolds with generalized fibred cusps*, Ph.D. thesis, Univ. of Bonn, 2001. arXiv:math-dg/0102072.